

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2006

Application No.: 10/826,805

Docket No.: JCLA12240-R2

REMARKS**Present Status of the Application**

Claims 12-23 and 27 are currently pending. Claims 12-23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Claims 12, 14-20, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (U. S. Patent 6,483,184) in view of Durocher et al. (U. S. Patent 6,614,103; hereinafter Durocher). Claims 13 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Durocher and AAPA.

Examiner Nadav is sincerely thanked for having a telephone interview the undersigned on September 19, 2006. In the interview, it was agreed that the cited prior art references fail to teach or suggest the feature that the first patterned conductive reflection film and the second patterned conductive reflection film cover the entire first surface except for a narrow insulation gap between the first patterned conductive reflection film and the second patterned conductive reflection film (the specific wording is not discussed).

Accordingly, Applicants have amended independent claim 12. No new matter adds through the amendments. After entry of amendments, claims 12-23 and 27 remain pending in the present application, and reconsideration of those claims is respectfully requested.

Discussion of Claim Rejections under 35 USC 112

Claims 12-23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicants have amended claim 12 to cancel the sentence "wherein no integrated circuit (IC) chip is connected to the first patterned conductive reflection film and the second patterned

Application No.: 10/826,805

Docket No.: JCLA12240-R2

conductive reflection film".

Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2006


Discussion of Claim Rejections under 35 USC 103

Claims 12, 14-20, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Durocher. Claims 13 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Durocher and AAPA.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite wherein the first patterned conductive reflection film and the second patterned conductive reflection film cover the entire first surface except for a narrow insulation gap between the first patterned conductive reflection film and the second patterned conductive reflection film. Therefore, claim 1, as amended, and its dependent claims 14-20, 23, and 27 are patentable over Murata, Durocher, and AAPA

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 12-23 and 27 of the invention patentably define over the cited prior art and are in proper condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
J.C. PATENTSDate: 10/5/20064 Venture, Suite 250
Irvine, CA 92618
Tel.: (949) 660-0761
Fax: (949)-660-0809
Jiawei Huang
Registration No. 43,330